

Invariant Subspaces for a Linear Transformation on a Finite Dimensional Complex Vector Space

¹Xu Feng and ²Cao Yong Lin,

^{1,2}School of Science, Shandong University Of Technology, Zhangzhou Road 12, Zibo, Shandong, China

Abstract: In the process of advanced algebra teaching, we have learned the properties of the linear transformation on the linear space over the number field P . In this paper, we will investigate the linear transformation σ over a finite dimensional complex linear space V , we also give out the number and expression of the invariant subspaces of V respect to σ if every characteristic subspace of σ has dimension 1.

Keywords: Complex Linear Space, Linear Transformation, Invariant Subspace, Generalized Characteristic Vector.

Chinese Library Classification: O152.7

MSC 2010: 20G20

Let V be a linear space of dimensional n over the complex number field, σ be a linear transformation over V . If the subspace W of V satisfying that: for any $\alpha \in W$, we always have $\sigma(\alpha) \in W$, then we call W an invariant subspace of V respect to the linear transformation σ (or an σ -subspace, in short). In this paper, we will investigate the quantity of the σ -subspaces of V , we also give out the expressions of all the σ -subspaces of V if the number of them is finite.

Proposition 1 Let σ be a linear transformation of V , where V is a linear space of dimensional n over the number field F .

If there exists some vector $\alpha \in V$ such that $\sigma^n(\alpha) = 0$ but $\sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$, then V has $n+1$ σ -subspaces:

$$W_j = L(\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha), \sigma^j(\alpha), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha), \sigma^n(\alpha)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1.$$

Proof For any $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, it is easy to see that W_j is an σ -subspace. On the contrary, let W be an σ -subspace. If $W = \{0\}$, then $W = L(\sigma^n(\alpha))$. Now suppose that $W \neq \{0\}$. Since $\sigma^n(\alpha) = 0$ but $\sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$, we know that $\alpha, \sigma(\alpha), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha)$ is a basis of V . Let $S = \{s \mid (\exists \beta \in W \setminus \{0\}) \beta = \sum_{i=s-1}^{n-1} k_i \sigma^i(\alpha), k_{s-1} \neq 0\}$, then $S \neq \emptyset$. Let j be the minimal number in S , then $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $W \subseteq W_j$. Next, we prove that $W_j \subseteq W$. In fact, from the minimality of j in S , we know that there exists a non-zero vector $\beta \in W$ such that

$$\beta = k_{j-1} \sigma^{j-1}(\alpha) + k_j \sigma^j(\alpha) + \dots + k_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha), \quad k_{j-1} \neq 0.$$

Using $\sigma, \dots, \sigma^{n-j}$ to impact on the above equations respectively, we can get the following linear system of equations

about the unknown quantities $\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha), \sigma^j(\alpha), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha)$:

$$\begin{cases} k_{j-1}\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha) + k_j\sigma^j(\alpha) + \dots + k_{n-1}\sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) = \beta \\ k_{j-1}\sigma^j(\alpha) + \dots + k_{n-2}\sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) = \sigma(\beta) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ k_{j-1}\sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) = \sigma^{n-j}(\beta) \end{cases}$$

Since the determinant of the coefficient

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} k_{j-1} & k_j & \dots & k_{n-1} \\ 0 & k_{j-1} & \dots & k_{n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & k_{j-1} \end{vmatrix} = k_{j-1}^{n-j+1} \neq 0,$$

by the Cramer Law, we can get that:

$$\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{D} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & k_j & \dots & k_{n-1} \\ \sigma(\beta) & k_{j-1} & \dots & k_{n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sigma^{n-j}(\beta) & 0 & \dots & k_{j-1} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} l_i \sigma^i(\beta), \quad l_i \in F, \quad i=0,1,\dots,n-j.$$

Since W is an σ -subspace, we have $\sigma(\beta), \dots, \sigma^{n-j}(\beta) \in W$. From the above equation we can deduce that

$\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha) \in W$, hence $\sigma^j(\alpha), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha) \in W$. Therefore, $W_j = L(\sigma^{j-1}(\alpha), \sigma^j(\alpha), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\alpha), \sigma^n(\alpha)) \subseteq W$,

as required.

Proposition 2 Let σ be a linear transformation of V , a linear space of dimensional n over the number field F . The characteristic polynomial of σ is

$$f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)\dots f_r(x),$$

where $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_r(x)$ are monic polynomials of $F[x]$ which are coprime with each other. Let

$V_i = \text{Ker}(f_i(\sigma)) = \{\alpha \in V \mid f_i(\sigma)\alpha = 0\}$, $i=1,2,\dots,r$. Then any σ -subspace W of V can be expressed as:

$$W = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r,$$

where W_i is an σ -subspace and $W_i \subseteq V_i$ for $i=1,2,\dots,r$.

Proof Using the same way as in proving the Theorem 12 of Chapter 7 in[1], we can prove that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$,

where each V_i is an σ -subspace ($i=1,2,\dots,r$). Let W be any σ -subspace, then for any $\beta \in W$, β can be

expressed uniquely as $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j$, where $\beta_j \in V_j$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r$. For every fixed i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), we let

$W_i = \{\beta_i \mid (\exists \beta \in W) \beta = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j, \beta_j \in V_j, j=1,2,\dots,r\} \subseteq V_i$. For any $\beta_i, \gamma_i \in W_i$ and $k \in F$, there certainly exist

$\beta, \gamma \in W$ such that $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j$ and $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j$, where $\beta_j, \gamma_j \in V_j$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r$. Therefore,

$k\beta + \gamma = \sum_{j=1}^r (k\beta_j + \gamma_j)$, $\sigma(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma(\beta_j)$. Since $\sigma(\beta) \in W$ and $k\beta_j + \gamma_j, \sigma(\beta_j) \in V_j$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r$, we

can deduce that $k\beta_i + \gamma_i, \sigma(\beta_i) \in W_i$. Therefore, W_i is an σ -subspace of V . Obviously, $W \subseteq W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_r$.

On the contrary, for any $\beta_i \in W_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), from the definition of W_i , we know that there exists $\beta \in W$ such that

$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j$, where $\beta_j \in V_j$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r$. Let $g_i(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f_i(x)}$, since $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_r(x)$ are coprime

with each other, we can conclude that $(f_i(x), g_i(x)) = 1$. Then there exist $u(x), v(x) \in F[x]$ such that

$u(x)f_i(x) + v(x)g_i(x) = 1$. Substitute the linear transformation σ into the expression, we can get that

$u(\sigma)f_i(\sigma) + v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma) = 1$, where t denotes the unitary transformation over V . From $\beta_j \in V_j$ can deduce that

$f_j(\sigma)\beta_j = 0$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r$. Thus $g_i(\sigma)\beta_j = 0$ for $j \neq i, j=1,2,\dots,r$. With the additional condition

$v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma) = 1 - u(\sigma)f_i(\sigma)$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &= \beta_i - u(\sigma)f_i(\sigma)\beta_i = (1 - u(\sigma)f_i(\sigma))\beta_i = v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma)\beta_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma)\beta_j = v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma)\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j\right) = v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma)\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since W is an σ -subspace and $\beta \in W$, we have $v(\sigma)g_i(\sigma)\beta \in W$, i.e., $\beta_i \in W$. Therefore, $W_i \subseteq W$ for

$i=1,2,\dots,r$. This means that $W \subseteq W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_r$, hence $W = W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_r$. Finally, from

$V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$, $W_i \subseteq V_i$, $i=1,2,\dots,r$, we can conclude that $W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_r = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r$ is a

direct sum.

Conversely, for any σ -subspace W_i satisfying that $W_i \subseteq V_i$, $i=1,2,\dots,r$, it is clear that

$W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_r = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r$ is an σ -subspace of V , as required.

Proposition 3 Let σ be a linear transformation of a linear space V with dimensional n over the complex field C , the characteristic polynomial of σ is

$$f(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{n_1} (x - \lambda_2)^{n_2} \dots (x - \lambda_r)^{n_r},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ are some complex numbers which are coprime with each other. n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r are positive integers and

$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$. Denote the characteristic subspaces of σ belonging to the characteristic value $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ are

$V_{\lambda_1}, V_{\lambda_2}, \dots, V_{\lambda_r}$ respectively. Then

(1) If there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\dim V_{\lambda_i} \geq 2$, then the number of the σ -subspaces of V is infinite.

(2) If $\dim V_{\lambda_i} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, then V has $\sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1)$ σ -subspaces:

$$W_{1,s_1} \oplus W_{2,s_2} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{r,s_r}, \quad s_i = 1, 2, \dots, n_i + 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (*)$$

where $W_{i,s_i} = L((\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{s_i-1} \alpha_i, (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{s_i} \alpha_i, \dots, (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i} \alpha_i)$, α_i is a generalized characteristic vector of order n_i corresponding to the characteristic value λ_i .

Proof (1) Suppose that $\dim V_{\lambda_i} \geq 2$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), then σ at least has two eigenvectors ξ_1, ξ_2 belonging to the characteristic value λ_i . For any $c \in \mathbb{F}$, let $U_c = \{\xi_1 + c\xi_2 \mid c \in \mathbb{F}\}$, then it is easy to see that U_c is an σ -subspace and satisfies that: $a \neq b$ implies that $U_a \neq U_b$. Hence the conclusion holds.

(2) Following the Theorem 12 of chapter seven in [1], $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$, where

$$V_i = \text{Ker}(\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i} = \{\alpha \in V \mid (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i} \alpha = 0\}$$

is an σ -subspace, $\dim V_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, since $\dim V_{\lambda_i} = 1$, according to the Theorem 1.2.4 of [2], we know there exist $\alpha_i \in V_i$ such that $(\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i} \alpha_i = 0$ but $(\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i-1} \alpha_i \neq 0$, hence $\alpha_i, (\sigma - \lambda_i t) \alpha_i, \dots, (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i-1} \alpha_i$ is a set of basis of V_i . Therefore,

$$W_{i,s_i} = L((\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{s_i-1} \alpha_i, (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{s_i} \alpha_i, \dots, (\sigma - \lambda_i t)^{n_i} \alpha_i), \quad s_i = 1, 2, \dots, n_i + 1$$

are different subspaces of V_i . Since each W_{i,s_i} is an $(\sigma - \lambda_i t)$ -subspace of V , $\sigma = (\sigma - \lambda_i t) + \lambda_i t$, and $\lambda_i t$ is a multiple transformation, we know W_{i,s_i} is also an σ -subspace of V . Therefore,

$$W_{1,s_1} \oplus W_{2,s_2} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{r,s_r}, \quad s_i = 1, 2, \dots, n_i + 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r \quad (*)$$

are $(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_r + 1)$ different σ -subspaces of V .

Conversely, let W be any σ -subspace of V . From Proposition 2 we know there exist σ -subspaces $U_i \subseteq V_i$,

$i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, of V such that $W = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, since V_i is an $(\sigma - \lambda_i t)$ -subspace,

we can restrict $\sigma - \lambda_i I$ to V_i . Let $\tau_i = (\sigma - \lambda_i I)|_{V_i}$, then τ_i is a linear transformation of V_i and $\alpha_i \in V_i$ satisfying that $\tau_i^{n_i} \alpha_i = (\sigma - \lambda_i I)^{n_i} \alpha_i = 0$ but $\tau_i^{n_i-1} \alpha_i = (\sigma - \lambda_i I)^{n_i-1} \alpha_i \neq 0$. Since U_i itself is also an $(\sigma - \lambda_i I)$ -subspace of V and $U_i \subseteq V_i$, so U_i can be regarded as a τ_i -subspace of V_i . From Proposition 1, we know there exist some positive integers $1 \leq s_i \leq n_i + 1$ such that $U_i = L(\tau_i^{s_i-1} \alpha_i, \tau_i^{s_i} \alpha_i, \dots, \tau_i^{n_i} \alpha_i) = W_{i,s_i}$. Therefore, W is one of the σ -subspaces in (*), as required.

Corollary Let σ be a linear transformation of V , a linear space of dimension n over the complex field \mathbb{C} . If σ has n different characteristic values, then V has 2^n σ -subspaces.

References

- [1] Algebra group of Geometry and algebra teaching and research section, Peking University department of mathematics, Advanced algebra (The Second edition) [M], The Higher Education Press, 2000.
- [2] Gongning Chen, The matrix theory and application [M], The Higher Education Press, 1991.